

Non Invasive Hemodynamic Monitoring in the ED

Roberta PETRINO Director Emergency Medicine Unit S. Andrea Hospital, Vercelli - Italy EuSEM Vice-president



Often, dyspnea poses a significant diagnostic challenge especially in patients with concurrent chronic lung disease and congestive heart failure.

It is necessary to find an easy and cheap method to evaluate and monitor the hemodynamic status of the patient with dyspnea, in particular when the etiology may be difficult and the therapy may be highly influenced by the result.

Cardiac Output (CO)

Cardiac Output measurement gives information on heart function

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Stroke Volume (SV) = EDV - ESV (vn \ 70\ -120 \ ml)} \\ \mbox{Ejection Fraction (EF) = (SV / EDV) \times 100\%} \\ \mbox{Cardiac Output (Q) = SV \times HR} \end{array}$

Measurement of CO may result extremely helpful in differentiating and monitoring outcome in undifferentiated dyspnea in the ED.

		Congestion at rest	
		NO	YES
Hypoperfusion at rest	NO	NORMAL Hot and Dry	CPE Hot and Wet
		DISCHARGE	OBS/ADMIT
	YES	HYPOVOLEMIC SHOCK Cool and Dry	CARDIOGENIC SHOCK Cool and Wet
		OBS/ADMIT	ADMIT/ICU

Classification by Stevenson et al. (Eur J Fail 1999)



- cannulation
- 25 years experience
 Internal jugular vein puncture































NICOM®: what does it measures?

Direct measures

- HR CO, CI SV, SVI Systolic, Diastolic and Mean Blood Pressure Thoracic Fluid Content: TFC

Calculated data

Total Peripheral Resistance TPRI DO2 (derived from Hct, SaO2)

Before and during Passive Leg Raising (PLR)



Practical applications of Non Invasive Hemodynamic Monitoring in the ED

Diagnosis

Monitoring

- AHF vs COPD
 - Therapeutic choice
- The patient is wet or dry?
- Fluid challenge evaluation (PLR)
- Treatment effect
- Dose adjustment
- Continuous emodynamic evaluation



15.10.2011

Bibliography

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- Lichtenstein D, Mezière G, Biderman P et al (1997) The comet tail artifact. An ultrasound sign of alveolar-interstitial syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 156:1640–1646 Holleman DR, Simel DL (1995) Does the clinical examination predict airflow limitation. JAMA 273:313–319 Mulrow CD, Lucey CR, Farnett LE (1993) Discriminating causes of dyspnea through clinical examination. J Gen Intern Med 8:383–392
- 4
- Med 8:383–392 Stevenson LW, Perloff JK (1989) The limited reliability of physical signs for estimating hemodynamics in chronic heart failure. JAMA 261:884–888 Collins SP, Lindsell CJ, Storrow AB, Abraham WT, ADHERE Scientific Advisory Committee Investigators and Study Group (2006) Prevalence of negative chest radiography results in the emergency department patient with decompensated heart failure. Ann Emerg Med 47:13–18 Davis M, Espiner E, Richards G et al (1994) Plasma brain natriuretic peptide in assessment of acute dyspnoea. Lancet 343:440–444 5.
- 6.

- 7
- Volpicelli G, Mussa A, Garofalo G et al (2006) Bedside lung ultrasound in the assessment of alveolar-interstitial syndrome. Am J Emerg Med 24:689–696 Copetti R, Soldati G, Copetti P (2008) Chest sonography: a useful tool to differentiate acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Cardiovasc Ultrasound 6:16 Jambrik Z, Monti S, Coppola V et al (2004) Usefulness of ultrasound lung comets as a nonradiologic sign of extravascular lung water. Am J Card 93:1265–1270 Lichtenstein DA, Mazire GA (2008) Belevance of lung 8
- 9.
- Lichtenstein DA, Mezière GA (2008) Relevance of lung ultrasound in the diagnosis of acute respiratory failure. The BLUE protocol. Chest 134:117–125 Wohlgenannt S, Gehmacher O, Gehmacher U et al (2001) Sonographic findings in interstitial lung diseases. Ultraschall Med 22:27–31 10.
- 11.
- Volpicelli G, Caramello V, Cardinale L et al (2008) Bedside ultrasound of the lung for the monitoring of acute decompensated heart failure. Am J Em Med 26:585–591 12.

- Volpicelli G, Cardinale L, Garofalo G, Veltri A (2008) Usefulness of lung ultrasound in the bedside distinction between pulmonary edema and exacerbation of COPD. Emerg Radiol 15:145–151 13
- Squara P, Denjean D et al (2007) Noninvasive cardiac output monitoring (NICOM): a clinical validation. Intensive Care Med 33:1191-1194 14.
- 15.
- 16. 17
- 33:1191-194 Raval NY, Squara P et al (2008) Multicenter evaluation of cardiac output measurement by bioreactance technique. J Clin Monit Comput 22:113–119 Squara P, Rotcaig D et al (2009) Comparison of monitoring performance of Bioreactance vs. pulse contour during lung recruitment maneuvers. Critical Care, 13:R125 Marqué S, Cariou A et al (2009) Comparison between Flortrac-Vigileo and Bioreactance, a totally noninvasive method for cardiac output monitoring. Critical Care 13:R73 Kossari N, Hufnagel G, Squara P (2009) Bioreactance: A new tool for cardiac output and thoracic fluid content monitoring during hemodialysis. Hemodialysis International 13:512–517 18.

The COMET Protocol

A pilot study on 20 patients with acute dyspnoea (SpO2 <90% and/or P/F <250)

Non invasive CO monitoring devices for differential diagnosis and monitoring of therapy response in patients with acute dysphoea in the ED

Objectives: to indagate the feasability of controlled trial to evaluate diagnostic sensibility and specificity of NICOM and thoracic US, alone and in combination, in patients with acute dysphoea in the emergency setting

The COMET Protocol: methods

All patients are normally treated according to common therapeutic protocols for their supposed clinical diagnosis

At T0, 3 and 6 hours from admission, along with classical clinical monitoring (diuresis, thoracic objective exam, vitals), we perform: NICOM monitoring thoracic US **BGA**

inferior vena cava collapse index (CCI)

The COMET Protocol: preliminary results

6 patients so far enrolled:

No sensible improving of CO or CI neither in patients with acute cardiac failure (ACF) nor in respiratory patients

Sensible reduction of Total Peripheral Resistance (TPR) and Total Peripheral Resistance Index (TPRI), as well as Thoracic Fluid Content (TFC) and its variation over time (TFCd), reflecting intrathoracic water, in patients with ACF clinically responding to treatment.

Such findings seem to correlate with reduction in Thoracic US comet score and P/F improvement.

The COMET Protocol: potential further investigations

- To define and validate TFC and TPR normal values, which could be very useful, particularly when combined with thoracic US, in quick differential diagnosis between cardiac and respiratory acute dyspnoea
- To define and validate TPRI variations and TFCd to target in monitoring effectiveness of therapy in ACF patients

