

TRAVMA HASTALARINDA HAVA YOLU YÖNETİMİ VE ALTERNATİF HAVAYOLU YÖNTEMLERİ

Doç. Dr. İsmet PARLAK

**İZMİR BOZYAKA EĞİTİM VE
ARAŞTIRMA HASTANESİ ACİL
TIP KLİNİĞİ ADINA**



DR.İSMET PARLAK

Airway Mythology

Bret Nelson, MD, FACEP



Department of Emergency Medicine
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
New York





“Insanity: doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results...”

JMB












Taken from: **Peripheral Nerve Blocks,**
2nd Edition. [2004], by JE Chelly




Taken from: Atlas of Regional Anesthesia,
2nd Edition, 1999, by David L. Brown

F
p
b









block—technique.


Taken from: *Atlas of Regional Anesthesia*,
2nd Edition, 1999, by David L. Brown






ANATOMİ


- Yeni doğanda dil büyük, larenks daha yukarda (C3-4 hizası) ve önde, epiglot sert
- Larenks aşağı doğru huni şeklinde daralır
- Hava yolunun en dar kısmı (6 mm), glottis değil krikoid halka hizasında




ANATOMI




ANATOMİ
































Cricoid Pressure



- Cricoid is circumferential cartilage
- Pressure obstructs esophagus to prevent escape of gastric contents
- Maintains airway patency

Downward pressure is applied to the cricoid which is transferred to the oesophagus



Oesophagus is closed off


Sellick manevrası-(krikoid bası)

- Hava yolu girişimi süresince krikoid bası (Sellick manevrası) kesintisiz uygulanır.
- Regürjitasyon ve aspirasyonu önler.
- Laringoskopi ve ETE'de güçlük olursa trakeanın arkaya, yukarıya, sağa doğru bastırılması (Backward, Upward, Rightward Pressure; BURP) denenir.

ZOR HAVA YOLU NEDİR VE NASIL ANLARIZ







Zor Hava Yolu Nedenleri

- Oral/faringeal tümör, hematoma veya hemangiomlar
- Submandibuler, peritonsiller veya epiglott infeksiyonları
- Laringeal atrezi gibi konjenital anomaliler
- Yabancı cisimler
- Yüz ve boyun travmaları
- İnhalasyon yanığı
- Obezite
- Yetersiz boyun ekstansiyonu
- Çene, dil, boyun ve diş anomalileri

LEMON

- **Look externally**
- **Evaluate 3-3-2**
- **Mallampati**
- **Obstruction?**
- **Neck mobility**





3-3-2 rule




Hard
palate


Soft
palate

Uvula

Pillar



Class I



Class II



Class III





Class IV

ZOR HAVA YOLU ÖNGÖRÜSÜNDE BULUNULAN ÖZELLİKLER

- Üst kesici dişler uzun
- Çene kapatılınca maksiller kesici dişler mandibuler kesicilerin belirgin olarak önünde
- Hasta istemli olarak mandibuler kesici dişleri maksiller kesicilerin önüne çıkartamıyor
- Kesici dişler arası mesafe 3 cm'den az
- Hasta oturur pozisyonda dil dışarıda iken uvula görülmüyor (Mallampati>II)
- Damak çok kavisli veya çok dar
- Mandibula boşluğu endüre, kitle ile dolu
- Tiromental mesafe 3 parmaktan kısa
- Boyun kalın ve kısa
- Çene ucu göğse değmiyor, boyun ekstansiyonu kısıtlı

MALLAMPATİ SKORLAMASI

- **Sınıf I:** Uvula, yumuşak damak, tonsil yatağı, ön ve arka plikalar rahatlıkla görülebilir.
- **Sınıf II:** Uvula ve yumuşak damak görülebilir.
- **Sınıf III:** Yumuşak damak ve uvula tabanı görülebilir.
- **Sınıf IV:** Uvula dil kökü tarafından tamamen kapatılmış ve farinks duvarı görülemez.




Cormack & Lehane Laringoskopik Görünüm

Evre I: Glottik açıklık tam olarak görülebilir.

Evre II: Anterior açıklık görülemez.

Evre III: Epiglot görülebilir, ancak glottik açıklık görülemez.

Evre IV: Epiglot görülemez.



DİĞER ÖLÇÜMLER

■ Tiromental mesafe

- Laringoskop ile dilin ne kadar yer değiştirebileceği
- 4-7 cm



■ Sternomental mesafe

- Baş ve boyun hareketi
- Baş ekstansiyonu entübasyonun zorluk veya kolaylığını belirleyen en önemli faktör
- 12,5 – 13,5 cm



■ Ağız açıklığı (> 3 cm)






DİĞER ÖLÇÜMLER

- **HYOMENTAL MESAFE (>7 CM)**
- **MANDİBULAR UZUNLUK (>9 CM)**
- **ME HAREKETİ (LATERAL POZİSYON)**

- Mandibular dişlerin maksiller dişlerin üzerine geçmesi
- Laringoskopi sırasında mandibulanın öne doğru yer değiştirmesi
- **Atlantooksipital eklem ve servikal omurga hareketi**
 - Fleksiyonda >30
 - Ekstensiyonda >80

- **Mandibular boşluk muayenesi**


- Parmakların bastırılabilmesi
- Dilin laringoskopi sırasında mandibulaya itilebilmesi



HAVAYOLUNUN DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ

1. Anamnez

Konjenital	Koanal atrezi, Pierre Robin Send., Treacher Collins Send.	
Travmatik	Maksillofasiyal, servikal omurga, larenks	
Edinsel	Enfeksiyon	Epiglottit, abse, krup
	Endokrin	Akromegali, diyabet, morbid obezite
	İnflamatuvar	Ankilozan spondilit, romatoid artrit
	Tümoral	Üst ve Alt havayolu tümörleri
	Fizyolojik	Gebelik



Airway Anatomy Suggesting Difficult Intubation

- Length of upper incisors and overriding maxillary teeth
- Interincisor (between front teeth) distance < 3 cm (two finger tips)
- Thyromental distance < 7 cm
 - tip of mandible to hyoid bone (three finger breaths)
- Neck extension < 35 degrees
- Sternomental distance < 12.5 cm
 - With the head fully extended and mouth closed
- Narrow palate (less than three finger breaths)
- Mallampati score class III or IV
- Stiff joint syndrome
 - About one third of diabetics characterized by short stature, joint rigidity, and tight waxy skin
 - Positive prayer sign with an inability to oppose fingers
- No sign is foolproof to indicate intubation difficulty



Prayer Sign

Airway Management

Mallampati class 1



Mallampati class 2



Mallampati class 3



Mallampati class 4



Laryngoscopic view 1




Laryngoscopic view 2



Laryngoscopic view 3



Laryngoscopic view 4



Trial Exhibits, Inc.

1-800-590-1123

www.trialexhibitsinc.com


This image is for reference only. Copyright law allows up to a \$150,000.00 penalty for unauthorized use.




ZOR HAVA YOLU VE ALTERNATİF YÖNTEMLER

- Oral ve Nazal airway kullanmak
- Kılavuz (stylet) kullanmak
- Bronkoskop yardımlı entübasyon
- Laringeal maske
- Kombitüp (özofagotrakeal tüp)
- Retrograd entübasyon

TEMEL ALGORİTM



SENARYO 1: BEKLENMEDİK ZOR TRAKEAL ENTÜBASYON: YETİŞKİN BİR HASTADA RUTİN ANESTEZİ İNDÜKSİYONU





Y BAG PEOPLE (Reference #6)

Table 6—Preparation for Intubation Mnemonic


Mnemonic	Description
Y	Yankauer suction
B	Bag-valve-mask
A	Access vein
G	Get your team, get help if predict a difficult airway
P	Position patient (sniffing position if no contraindications) and place on monitor
E	Endotracheal tubes and check cuff with syringe
O	Oxygen, oropharyngeal airway available
P	Pharmacy: draw up adjunctive medications, induction agent, and neuromuscular blocker
L	Laryngoscope and blades: ensure a variety and that they are working
E	Evaluate for difficult airway: look for obstruction, assess thyromental distance < 3 finger breadths, interincisor distance < 2 finger breadths, neck immobilization



Difficult Airway Algorithm

1. Assess the likelihood and clinical impact of basic management problems.
 - A. Difficult Ventilation
 - B. Difficult Intubation
 - C. Difficulty with Patient Cooperation or Consent
 - D. Difficult Tracheostomy
2. Actively pursue opportunities to deliver supplemental oxygen throughout the process of difficult airway management.
3. Consider the relative merits and feasibility of basic management choices:

A.	Awake Intubation	-vs.-	Intubation Attempts after Induction of General Anesthesia
B.	Non-Invasive Technique for Initial Approach to Intubation	-vs.-	Invasive Technique for Initial Approach to Intubation
C.	Preservation of Spontaneous Ventilation	-vs.-	Ablation of Spontaneous Ventilation
4. Develop primary and alternative strategies.





Eschman Stylet



- Use especially if Grade III view achieved
- Direct laryngoscopy is performed
- Place Eschman where trachea is anticipated
- May feel tracheal rings against stiffness of stylet
- Thread 7.0 or 7.5 ETT over stylet with the laryngoscope still in place

Fiberoptic Scope



- ✦ Essentially what is used to do a bronchoscopy
- ✦ Can be used to thread an endotracheal tube into the trachea either while the patient is asleep or on an awake patient with a topicalized airway
- ✦ Via laryngeal mask airway in place due to inability to intubate with DL:
 - Aintree (airway exchange catheter) can be threaded over the FOB to be placed into trachea upon visualization
 - Wire-guided airway exchange catheter can also be used with one more step

The Light Wand



- ✦ Transillumination of trachea with light at distal end
- ✦ Trachea not visualized directly
- ✦ Should not be used with tumors, trauma, or foreign bodies of upper airway
- ✦ Minimal complication except for mucosal bleed
- ✦ 10% failure rate on first attempt in experienced hands

Tube sizes

- Newborn – to 4 kg - 2.5 mm (uncuffed).
- 1-6 months 4-6 kg – 3.5 mm (uncuffed).
- 7-12 months 6-9 kg – 4.0 mm (uncuffed).
- 1 year 9 kg – 4.5 mm (uncuffed).
- 2 years 11 kg – 5.0 mm (uncuffed).
- 3-4 years 14–16 kg - 5.5 mm (uncuffed).
- 5-6 years 18–21 kg – 6.0 mm (uncuffed).
- 7-8 years 22-27 kg – 6.5 mm (uncuffed).

Tube Sizes

- 9-11 years 28-36 kg – 7.0 mm(cuffed).
- 14 to adults 46+ kg – 7.0 – 8.0 mm (cuffed).
- Adult female 7.0 – 8.0mm (cuffed).
- Adult male 7.5 – 8.5 mm (cuffed).
- The size of the tube may also be determined by the size of the patients little finger.

N.B patients below the age of 8 require uncuffed ETT due to damage caused by the cuff in younger patients. Always monitor the ECG activity during intubation.

4 Rules of Suctioning

- Never suction further than you can see.
- Always suction on the way out.
- Never suction for longer than 15 seconds.
- Always oxygenate the patient before and after suctioning.

DİREKT ETE YÖNTEMLERİ

❖ BEKLENEN ZOR ENTÜBASYON

UYANIK FOE

❖ BEKLENMEYEN ZOR ETE

- LMA/İLMA + FİBEROSKOP
- DÜZ LARİNGOSKOP
- RİJİT İNDİREKT LARİNGOSKOP
- VİDEOLARİNGOSKOP
- MACİNTOSH TİPİ
- BULLARD TİPİ: AIRTRAQ & PENTAX
- DİĞER: GLİDESKOP, MC GRATH, EVO
- OPTİK STİLE



SONUÇ - I

- **BALON – MASKE VENTİLASYONU TEKNİKLERİ**
- **TRAKEAL ENTÜBASYON: EN GÜVENLİ HAVA YOLU**
- **DİREKT LARİNGOSKOPİ**
- **MACİNTOSH LARİNGOSKOP – SINIRLI**
- **KÖR TEKNİKLER – SINIRLI, TEHLİKELİ**
- **LMA – ÖNEMLİ, ANCAK SINIRLI**
- **FLEKSİBL FİBEROSKOP – BEKLENEN ZOR HAVA YOLU**
- **RİJİT İNDİREKT LARİNGOSKOP – GELECEĞİ PARLAK**
- **OPTİK STİLE – ROLÜ KESİN BELLİ DEĞİL**
- **C – TRACH: POTANSİYEL DEĞERİ VAR.**
- **CERRAHİ HAVA YOLU**







Flexible Video Laryngoscope



LaryFlex Video Laryngoscope









B. Ali Impex

Khadim Ali Road, Kotli Behram, Sialkot, Pakistan.

L3-150: Reusable conventional Laryngoscope blades **MacIntosh** made of 304 grade stainless steel (non-magnetic) with 2.5 V lamp. Sizes available 00,0,1,2,3,4,5.
L3-155: Reusable conventional Laryngoscope blades **Miller** made of 304 grade stainless steel (non-magnetic) with 2.5 V lamp. Sizes available 00,0,1,2,3,4
L3-155V: Reusable Veterinary Conventional Laryngoscope Blades Miller made of 304 Grade stainless steel (non-magnetic), sizes available 5, 6, 7.



L3-151: Economical conventional Laryngoscope blades **MacIntosh** made of 304 grade stainless steel (non-magnetic) with 2.5V lamp. Sizes available 00,0,1,2,3,4,5. **This blade can be used as single use or reusable.**



L3-156: Economical conventional Laryngoscope blades **Miller** made of 304 grade stainless steel (non-magnetic) with 2.5V lamp. Sizes available 00,0,1,2,3,4. **This blade can be used as single use or reusable.**

L3-152: Economical conventional Laryngoscope blades **MacIntosh** made of 304 grade stainless steel (non-magnetic) with 2.5V lamp. Sizes available 00,0,1,2,3,4,5. **This blade can be used as single use or reusable.** Handle available for this blade is made of plastic & brass as indicated with one of the blade



L3-154: Single use conventional Laryngoscope blades **MacIntosh** made of 304 grade stainless steel (non-magnetic) with plastic handle. 2.5V lamp. Sizes available 00,0,1,2,3,4. **This style is also available in Miller**

HC1: Study Handle for conventional Laryngoscope blades made of brass chrome plated. Two AA size battery cells are accommodated in the handle.

HC2: Extra Economical Handle for conventional Laryngoscope blades made of brass chrome plated. & plastic Two AA size battery cells are accommodated

HC3: Economical Handle for conventional Laryngoscope blades made of brass chrome plated. Two C- size battery cells are accommodated.

HC4: Pen Light Handle for conventional Laryngoscope blades made of brass chrome plated. Two AA size battery cells are accommodated

HC5: Light Weight Handle for conventional Laryngoscope blades made of brass chrome plated. Two C-size battery cells are accommodated

HC6: Heavy Duty Handle for conventional Laryngoscope blades made of brass chrome plated. Two C- size battery cells are accommodated.

HC7: Heavy Duty Handle for conventional Laryngoscope blades made of brass chrome plated. Two C- size battery cells are accommodated.

HC8: Heavy Duty Handle for conventional Laryngoscope blades made of brass chrome plated. Two C- size battery cells are accommodated.



Blades




L-150F



L-155F

L-150F: Reusable Profession MacIntosh Fiber Optic Laryngoscope Blades. These blades are made of Grade 304 Stainless Steel (Non-Magnetic), fitted with Glass Fiber Optic light guide covered with stainless steel pipe. Sizes available 00, 0, 1, 2, 3,4,5

L-155F: Reusable Profession Miller Fiber Optic Laryngoscope Blades. These blades are made of Grade 304 Stainless Steel (Non-Magnetic), fitted with Glass Fiber Optic light guide covered with stainless steel pipe. Sizes available 00, 0, 1, 2, 3,4.




L-151F



L-156F

L-151F: Single use MacIntosh Fiber Optic Laryngoscope Blades. These blades are made of Grade 304 Stainless Steel (Non-Magnetic) with plastic heal fitted with plastic Fiber Optic light guide. Sizes available 00, 0, 1, 2, 3,4,5

L-156F: Single use Miller Fiber Optic Laryngoscope Blades. These blades are made of Grade 304 Stainless Steel (Non-Magnetic) with plastic heal fitted with plastic Fiber Optic light guide. Sizes available 00, 0, 1, 2, 3,4



L-152F



L-157F

L-152F: Economical MacIntosh Fiber Optic Laryngoscope Blades. These blades are made of Grade 304 Stainless Steel (Non-Magnetic), fitted with plastic Fiber Optic light guide. Sizes available 00, 0, 1, 2, 3,4,5

L-157F: Economical MacIntosh Fiber Optic Laryngoscope Blades. These blades are made of Grade 304 Stainless Steel (Non-Magnetic), fitted with plastic Fiber Optic light guide. Sizes available 00, 0, 1, 2, 3,4

Handles



HF1



HF2



HF3



HF4

HF1: Battery Handle for Fiber Optic Laryngoscope to accommodate C-size Battery cells. The handles are fitted with Halogen lamp. The handles are made of Brass, chrome plated.

HF2: Battery Handle for Fiber Optic Laryngoscope to accommodate AA-size Battery cells. The handles are fitted with Halogen lamp. The handles are made of Brass, chrome plated.

HF3: Battery Handle for Fiber Optic Laryngoscope to accommodate AA-size Battery cells. The handles are fitted with Halogen lamp. The handles are made of Brass, chrome plated & plastic

HF4: Stubby Battery Handle for Fiber Optic Laryngoscope to accommodate AA-size Battery cells. The handles are fitted with Halogen lamp and made of brass,chrome Plated

Packaging



PF1



PF2



PF3




PF4




PF5




PF6




Semi-rijid Fiberoptik Laringoskopi



Levitan semi rijid fiberoptik laringoskop




Rijid Fiberoptik Laringoskopi



Rijid Fiberoptik Laringoskopi

Levitan Scope



I LOVE TRAUMA S

Team Organization Steps

Primary Survey—Adjunct Phase

- I**-Intravenous fluids, Immobilize c-spine, Immunization (Tetanus)
- L**-Laboratory (blood drawn and tests ordered)
- O**-Oxygen: (tubing attached, delivery mode, flow rate), Oximetry
- V**-Vital Signs (request for call out and frequency)
- E**-Exposure (full disclosure, heat loss, modesty), EKG

- T**-Tubes (Foley, nasogastric, chest tube)
- R**-Radiographs, Records (check for old records), Relatives (notify)
- A**-Antibiotics
- U**-Ultrasound (FAST exam)
- M**-Monitor (attach leads)
- A**-Arterial blood gas (A-line)
- S**-Splints (stabilize fractures), Spiritual (contact chaplain)

- The equipment necessary for this procedure can be found in kits and contain the following:



#11 blade scalpel



Tracheostomy
or ET tube



Iodine and
application swab



Tracheal dilator
& tracheal hook







NAP4 Report and findings of the 4th National Audit Project of The Royal College of Anaesthetists

- At least one-quarter of major complications of airway management in hospitals are likely to occur in the ICU and emergency department
 - Case review has identified avoidable deaths and areas of care that need improvement.
 - We outline recommendations to make such improvements.
 - At least one in four major airway events in a hospital are likely to occur in ICU or the ED.
 - The outcome of these events is particularly adverse and these events are more likely to lead to permanent harm or death than events in anaesthesia.
 - Analysis of the cases has identified repeated gaps in care that include: poor identification of at-risk patients, poor or incomplete planning, inadequate provision of skilled staff and equipment to manage these events successfully, delayed recognition of events and failed rescue due to lack of or failure of interpretation of capnography.
 - The project findings suggest avoidable deaths due to airway complications occur in ICU and the emergency department
-

Management and outcomes of trauma during pregnancy

- Approximately 1% to 4% of pregnant women are evaluated in emergency/delivery room because of traumatic injury, yet there are few educational strategies targeted toward prevention/management of maternal trauma
- Use of illicit drugs and alcohol, domestic abuse, and depression contribute to maternal trauma; thus a high index of suspicion should be maintained when treating injured young women
- Treating the mother appropriately is beneficial for both the mother and the fetus
- Fetal viability should be assessed after maternal stabilization
- Pregnancy-related morbidity occurs in approximately 25% of cases and may include placental abruption, uterine rupture, preterm delivery, and the need for cesarean delivery.



HAVAYOLU YÖNETİMİ

- Kanal açıklığını sürdürmek
- Aspirasyondan korumak
- Yeterli ventilasyon
- Yeterli oksijenasyon
- Sekresyonların kontrolü
- Resusitatif ilaçların uygulanması
- (Naloxan, Atropin, Valium, Epinefrin, Lidokain)

HAVAYOLU OBSTRÜKSİYONLARI


- Dilin geriye kaçması (kardiak arrest, koma, travma)
- Dil ödemi, larengeal spazm (Anafilaksi, iritan madde)
- Larengeal, trakeal, bronş obstrüksiyon (Yabancı cisim)
- Larengeal hasar (travma)
- Larengeal ödem (enfeksiyon, anafilaksi)
- Bronkospazm (astım, iritanlar, anafilaksi, yabancı cis)
- Pulmoner ödem (enfeksiyon, kalp yetmezliği, şok)





This image is hosted on
ebaumsworld.com










































Cricothyrotomy















Equipment


- A. Scalpel-No. 11 blade
- B. Trousseau dilator
- C. Tracheal hook
- D. 10-cc syringe
- E. Tracheostomy tube (No. 4 or 6 Shiley, cuffed)
- F. Obturator
- G. Inner cannula
- H. Circumferential tie
- I. Bag-valve-mask and ventilator tubing





























Alternative Methods

✚ Blind nasal intubation

- Bleeding may cause problems with subsequent attempts.
- Contraindicated in patients with facial trauma due to cribriform plate disruption or CSF leak
- Avoid in immune suppressed (i.e., bone marrow transplant)

✚ Eschmann stylet

✚ Fiber optic bronchoscopic intubation

- Awake vs. asleep

✚ Laryngeal mask airway

- Allows ventilation while bridging to more definitive airway

✚ Light wand


✚ Retrograde intubation


- Through cricothyrotomy

✚ Surgical tracheostomy

✚ Combitube


Laryngeal Maske Airway(LMA)



















30.09.2009 07:20


































I jel



Endotrakeal entübasyonda yaklaşımlar

- Orotrakeal entübasyon (klasik yol)
 - hızlı,
- Nazotrakeal entübasyon
 - zaman alır, servikal hareket daha az, komplike
- Digital ETI
- vokal kortların görülemediği aşırı sekresyon durumları
- Retrograd ETI
 - invaziv, zaman alır, deneyim
- Fiberoptik yardımcılarla ETI
- Cerrahi krikotirotomi

Trakeal entübasyon

endikasyonları

- Solunum yardımı
- Mide içeriğinin aspirasyonunu önlemek
- Kalıcı havayolu sağlamak (trisiklik anti depresan, organo fosfat entoksikasyonu, oral yanık, larinks ödemi vs)
- Ajete, saldırgan hastaların BT, MR, vs. incelemeleri amacıyla
- Seçilmiş tidal volum verilmesi
- Trakeal temizlemeyi kolaylaştırmak
- Havayolunun açıklığının korunması ve devamının sağlanması

Ekipman

1. Işığı iyi çalışan laringoskop,
2. Cuff kontrolü yapılmış uygun Endotrakeal tüpler,
3. Çocuk ve yetişkin bag-valv-maske (BVM)
4. Uygun büyüklükte airway
5. Çalışan O₂ sistemi, hortum, kanül
6. Çalışan aspiratör ve uygun kateterleri
7. Stile veya guide
8. IV yol ekipmanı
9. EKG ve monitör
10. Nabız oksimetre
11. Boş enjektör(10ml)
12. Servikal collar
13. Pozisyon verilebilir sedye
14. NG sonda
15. ilaçlar (özellikle lidokain, fentanyl, atropin, midazolam, etomidat, tiopental, vekuronyum, süksinilkolin)
16. Magill pensi ve sargı bezi
17. Krikotirotomi seti ve alternatif hava yolu ekipmanı
18. End-tidal CO₂ veya özefageal detektör aygıtı

- **a-ENDOTRAKEAL ENTÜBASYON ENDİKASYONLARI-**
- ► Solunum arresti olan tüm hastalar
- ► Glaskow-koma skalası 10 ve altında olan travma hastaları
- ► Glaskow-koma skalası 8 ve altında olan travması olmayan hastalar
- ► Ciddi maxillo-fasial travması olan hastalar
- ► Solunum fonksiyonunu bozabilecek boyutta torax travması
- ► Vital anstabilitesi olan cerrahiye gideceği kesin olan hastalar
- ► Bilinç bulanıklığı olan, ajete-kontrolü zor hastalarda tetkik öncesi
- ► Konjestif kalb yetmezliği ve KOAH lı hastalarda ventilasyonu sağlamak için
- ► Kafa travmasına bağlı santral hipo ventilasyonu olan hastaları hiperventile etmek için
- ► Toksik doz alımı kesin olan; Organo fosfat, trisiklik antidepresan ve alkol intoksikasyon olan hastalarda için profilaktik entübasyon
- ► **Genel anlamda koruyucu hava yolu refleksi olmayan bilinci kapalı olan tüm hastalar**
- ► Solunum yetersizliği oluşturan hertür toksik madde alımı
- ► Anafilaktik reaksiyonlara ve angio ödeme bağlı solunum yolu obstrüksiyonu öngörülen hastalar
- ► Pulmoner temizlik, Yeterli ventilasyonu sağlamak için pozitif end expiratuvar pressure
- ► Status astmatikus ve status epileptikus











Hangi tüp?

- Yetişkinde kadın için 7.5-8
- Yetişkin erkek için 8-8.5 nolu tüp
- Çocukda tüp no.sunu hesaplamak için; *Tüp no = (yaş/4) 4+*
- 6-8 yaşa kadar cuff'sız tüp kullanılır
- Cuff'lar geniş volümlü düşük basınçlı olmalıdır.

Tüp boyutları

- yenidoğan –4 kg - 2.5 mm (kafsız).
- 1-6 aylık 4-6 kg – 3.5 mm (kafsız).
- 7-12 aylık 6-9 kg – 4.0 mm (kafsız).
- 1 yaş 9 kg – 4.5 mm (kafsız).
- 2 yaş 11 kg – 5.0 mm (kafsız).
- 3-4 yaş 14–16 kg - 5.5 mm (kafsız).
- 5-6 yaş 18–21 kg – 6.0 mm (kafsız).
- 7-8 yaş 22-27 kg – 6.5 mm (kafsız).

Tüp boyutları

- 9-11 yaş 28-36 kg – 7.0 mm(kafalı).
- 14 yaş ve üstü 46+ kg – 7.0 – 8.0 mm (kafalı).
- Erişkin bayan 7.0 – 8.0mm (kafalı).
- Erişkin erkek 7.5 – 8.5 mm (kafalı).

- 8 yaşından küçük tüm çocuklara kafsız tüp kullanmak gerekir, böylece çocukları kafın oluşturacağı hasarlardan korumuş oluruz



SELLICK MANEVRASI

- Krikoid bası
- Baş ve işaret parmak ile yapılır
- İleri,yukarı ve hafif sağa
- Özefagusu vertebra üzerinde sıkıştırır,regürjitasyonu önler
- Hava yolu girişimi süresince krikoid bası kesintisiz uygulanmalıdır

BURP MANEVRASI

Backwards
Upwards
Rightwards
Pressure












Laringoskopi-1

- Sedyenin boyu göbek seviyesinde olmalıdır.
- Hastalar preoksijenize, yeterli medikasyon ve uygun baş pozisyonundan sonra;
- Uygun blade'in $\frac{1}{2}$ ila $\frac{2}{3}$ 'ü ağzın sağ tarafından sokulur ve dil sola doğru çekilir
- Görerek ilerlenir
- İlk hedef dilin ortası
- 2.Hedef; epiglot
- 3.Hedef; vallakula ya blade ucu yerleştirilir

Laringoskopi-2

- Kol bilekten bükülmez
- Güç oblik karşı-yukarıya harcanır
- Kordlar iki kere görülmeli!
- 30sn içinde entübasyon gerçekleştir
- Başarılı olunamassa ,15-30sn %100 O₂ ile preoksijenize edilir ve tekrar denenir






























Confirmation of Placement

- ✦ Direct visualization
- ✦ Humidity fogging the endotracheal tube
- ✦ End tidal CO_2 which is maintained after > 5 breaths
 - Low cardiac output results in decreased delivery of CO_2
- ✦ Refill in 5 seconds of self-inflating bulb at the end of the endotracheal tube
- ✦ Symmetrical chest wall movement
- ✦ Bilateral breath sounds
- ✦ Maintenance of oxygenation by pulse oximetry
- ✦ Absence of epigastric auscultation during ventilation



■ Entübasyonun doğrulanması-2

Entübasyon başarısız olursa?

- 30 sn içinde tüp vokal kortlardan geçirilemez ise tüp çıkarılır ve hasta %100 O₂ ile 15-30 sn ambulanır
- BVM yeterli oluyorsa böyle devam edilir
- Stile ile ve pozisyon vererek tekrar denenir
- BURP manevrası denenebilir
- Laringeal Mask Airway
- Digital entübasyon
- Esophageal Tracheal Combitube
- Cerrahi Havayolu, cricothyroidotomy,
- Fiber optik laringoskoplar ve video laringoskoplar
- Bujiler denenebilir
- Transtrakeal jet ventilasyonlar

Komplikasyonlar

- Özefageal entübasyon
 - Havayolu travması
 - Sağ ana bronş entübasyonu
 - Laringospazm
 - Başarısız girişim
-

Entübasyonun doğrulanması-1

Tübün vokal kordlardan geçişinin görülmesi

- Solunum seslerini sağ ve sol anteriyor, sağ ve sol orta axiler bölgeden, mide üzerinden (epigastriumdan) dinleme; 5 nokta kuralı
- Göğüs ekspansiyonun gözlenmesi
- Tüpte buğulanma olması (duyarlılık ve özgüllüğü en düşük)
- Yerinde PA akciğer grafisi ile tüp ucunun karinanın 1,5- 2 cm proksimalinde olması
- Nabız oksimetre değerinin yükselerek %90'ın üzerine çıkması

Nazotrakeal Entübasyon

- Uzun süreli entübasyon gerektiren hastalar
- Trismus (konvülsiyonlar, tetanus, deserebre rijiditesi vb.)
- Servikal vertebral patolojiler (ankiloz, artrit, travma, tümör vb.)
- Oral veya maksillofasiyal travma. Mandibula kırıkları

Nazotrakeal Entübasyon

- Oral deformiteler, yeni geçirilmiş oral cerrahi
- Temporomandibular eklem disfonksiyonu
- Kusma ve aspirasyon riski yüksek hastalarda uyanık entübasyon uygulaması
- Kısa boyun
- Ciddi ajitasyon ve aşırı refleks aktivite gösteren hastalar





Video Yardımcılı Laringoskopi




GlideScope




McGrath Video Laryngoskop


Video Yardımcılı Laringoskopi











Box 10-1 Differences between 1993 and 2003 ASA Management of the Difficult Airway Algorithms

1. *Difficult ventilation* is now listed first under item 1, "Assess the Likelihood and Clinical Impact of Basic Management Problems." Also, in the same category, *Difficult tracheostomy* was added.
2. A new item 2 was inserted: "Actively pursue opportunities to deliver supplemental oxygen throughout the process of difficult airway management."
3. When considering the relative merits and feasibility of basic management choices (item 3), awake intubation versus intubation attempts after induction of anesthesia should now be considered first, before noninvasive versus invasive techniques as the initial approach to intubation.
4. Use of the laryngeal mask airway (LMA) was incorporated into the algorithm in the awake induction limb and in both the nonemergency and emergency pathways for induction after general anesthesia (either as a ventilatory device or as a conduit for tracheal intubation).
5. The option for "One more intubation attempt" was removed.
6. Use of the rigid bronchoscope was added as an option for emergency noninvasive ventilation.

Box 10-3 Techniques for Difficult Airway Management

Important: This box lists commonly cited techniques in alphabetic order. It is not a comprehensive list, and no preference for a given technique or sequence of use is implied. Combinations of techniques may be employed. The techniques chosen by the practitioner depend on the specific needs, preferences, skills, and clinical constraints in the particular case.

Techniques for Difficult Intubation

- Alternative laryngoscope blades
- Awake intubation
- Blind intubation (oral or nasal)
- Fiberoptic intubation
- Intubating stylet or tube changer
- Invasive airway access
- Laryngeal mask airway as an intubating conduit
- Light wand
- Retrograde intubation

Techniques for Difficult Ventilation

- Esophageal-tracheal Combitube
- Intratracheal jet stylet
- Invasive airway access
- Laryngeal mask airway
- Oral and nasopharyngeal airways
- Rigid ventilating bronchoscope
- Transtacheal jet ventilation
- Two-person mask ventilation

Box 10-4 ASA Difficult Airway Algorithm Take-Home Messages

1. If suspicious of trouble → Secure the airway awake
 2. If you get into trouble → Awaken the patient
 3. Have plans B and C immediately available and in place
= think ahead
 4. Intubation choices → Do what you do best
-

-
- Hastanızı ventile ediyorsanız entübasyon için stress yapmayın
-

A. Terminology in the ASA Difficult Airway Algorithm

A. Terminology in the ASA Difficult Airway Algorithm

The original publications that introduced the ASA algorithm and provided basic terms that define a DA were relatively vague in their terminology¹:

- *Difficult mask ventilation*: "It is *not* possible for the anesthesiologist to provide adequate face mask ventilation due to one or more of the following problems: inadequate mask seal, excessive gas leak or excessive resistance to the ingress or egress of gas."
- *Difficult laryngoscopy*: "Not being able to visualize any portion of the vocal cords after multiple attempts at conventional laryngoscopy."
- *Difficult intubation*: "When tracheal intubation requires multiple attempts in the presence or absence of tracheal pathology."
- *Failed intubation*: "Placement of the tracheal tube fails after multiple intubation attempts" (0.05% of surgical patients and 0.13% to 0.35% in obstetric patients).





Figure 10-2 Troop Elevation Pillow with additional foam head rest. (Courtesy of Mercury Medical, Clearwater, FL.)


An *optimal-best attempt at conventional laryngoscopy* is defined as having the following characteristics^{34,35}:



** $P \leq 0.01$ between 1975 and 1990+ time periods; $N = 3282$
 Claims for respiratory system damaging events as a proportion of
 all claims in the database for each 5-year time period.

Note: N does not equal the sum of n as there are some

A claims in the database prior to 1975 or no date is known.



** $P \leq 0.01$ between 1975 and 1990+ time periods; $N = 3282$
 Claims for death and brain damage as a proportion of all
B claims in the database for each 5-year time period.

Figure 10-6 **A**, The incidence of respiratory system damaging events as a proportion of all claims in the database for each 5-year period ($N = 3282$). ** $P \leq 0.01$ between 1975 and 1990+ time periods. **B**, Claims for death and brain damage as a proportion of all claims in the database for each 5-year time period ($N = 3282$). ** $P \leq 0.01$. (In both **A** and **B**, N does not equal the sum of n because there are some claims in the database for which the date is before 1975 or unknown.) (From Cheney FW: Committee on Professional Liability: Overview. *ASA Newsletter* 58:7-10, 1994.)

Box 10-5 Individual Predictors of Difficult Airway Management*

History

Congenital/acquired syndromes, malignancy, trauma, or disease states affecting the airway (e.g., diabetes, obstructive sleep apnea)

Recent difficult intubation

Prior surgery involving the larynx or neck

Physical

Facial hair (beard or mustache)

Prominent protruding teeth or dentures

Micrognathia

Limited mouth opening <4 cm

Inability to protrude mandible

Mallampati class III or IV

Thyromental distance <6 cm

Hyomental distance <4 cm

Sternomental distance <12 cm

Limited range of motion of neck <80°

Neck circumference >60 cm

Body mass index (BMI) >30 kg/m²

Upper airway obstruction

Presence of blood or vomitus in oropharynx

Tracheal deviation

*Includes predictors of difficult mask ventilation, difficult laryngoscopy, difficult intubation, and surgical airway.





Figure 5-16 The dynamic lung volumes that can be measured by simple spirometry are tidal volume, inspiratory reserve volume, expiratory reserve volume, inspiratory capacity, and vital capacity. The static lung volumes are residual volume, functional residual capacity, and total lung capacity. Static lung volumes cannot be measured by simple spirometry and require separate methods of measurement (e.g., inert gas dilution, nitrogen washout, total-body plethysmography).

TABLE 9-1**Generally Accepted Predictors of Difficult Intubation**

Criterion	Suggestion of Difficult Intubation
History of difficult intubation	Positive history
Length of upper incisors	Relatively long
Interincisor distance	Less than two finger breadths (<3 cm)
Overbite	Maxillary incisors override mandibular incisors
Temporomandibular joint translation	Inability to extend mandibular incisors anterior to maxillary incisors
Mandibular space	Small, indurated, encroached upon by mass
Cervical vertebral range of motion	Cannot touch chin to chest or cannot extend neck
Thyromental distance	Less than three finger breadths (<6 cm)
Mallampati-Samsoon classification	Mallampati III/Samsoon IV—relatively large tongue; uvula not visible
Neck	Short, thick

Adapted from American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Difficult Airway Management: Practice guidelines for management of the difficult airway: An updated report. *Anesthesiology* 98:1269, 2003.

Figure 9-4 Probability of difficult intubation. Classes I-IV are mallampatti-Samsoon classifications. *PI*, Protruding incisors; *RM*, receding mandible; *SN*, short neck. (From Rocke DA, Murray WB, Rout CC, Gouws E: Relative risk analysis of factors associated with difficult intubation in obstetric anesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 77:67, 1992.)



The ASA Difficult Airway Algorithm: Analysis and Presentation of a New Algorithm*

ANSGAR M. BRAMBRINK | CARIN A. HAGBERG

I. Introduction

II. The ASA Difficult Airway Algorithm

- A. Patient Evaluation and Risk Assessment
- B. Difficult Bag-Mask Ventilation
- C. Awake Tracheal Intubation
- D. Difficult Intubation in the Unconscious or Anesthetized Patient
- E. The "Cannot Intubate, Cannot Ventilate" Scenario
- F. Extubation of a Patient with a Difficult Airway
- G. Follow-up Care of a Patient with a Difficult Airway

III. Summary of the ASA Algorithm

IV. Problems with the ASA Algorithm and Likely Future Directions

- A. Terminology in the ASA Difficult Airway Algorithm
- B. Definition of Optimal-Best Attempt at Conventional Laryngoscopy

- C. Definition of Optimal-Best Attempt at Conventional Mask Ventilation
- D. Options for the CICV Scenario
- E. Determinants of the Use of Muscle Relaxants for Difficult Airway Management
- F. Summary

V. Introduction of a New Comprehensive Airway Algorithm

- A. The Main Algorithm
 - 1. The Nonpredicted Difficult Airway
 - 2. New Algorithm Pathways
- B. Shortcomings of the New Airway Algorithm
- C. Bloody Airways
- D. Summary

VI. Conclusions

VII. Clinical Pearls

A. Patient Evaluation and Risk Assessment

The ASA DAA begins with the most basic question of whether or not the presence of a DA is recognized (see

Box 10-1 Differences between 1993 and 2003 ASA Management of the Difficult Airway Algorithms

1. *Difficult ventilation* is now listed first under item 1, "Assess the Likelihood and Clinical Impact of Basic Management Problems." Also, in the same category, *Difficult tracheostomy* was added.
2. A new item 2 was inserted: "Actively pursue opportunities to deliver supplemental oxygen throughout the process of difficult airway management."
3. When considering the relative merits and feasibility of basic management choices (item 3), awake intubation versus intubation attempts after induction of anesthesia should now be considered first, before noninvasive versus invasive techniques as the initial approach to intubation.
4. Use of the laryngeal mask airway (LMA) was incorporated into the algorithm in the awake induction limb and in both the nonemergency and emergency pathways for induction after general anesthesia (either as a ventilatory device or as a conduit for tracheal intubation).
5. The option for "One more intubation attempt" was removed.
6. Use of the rigid bronchoscope was added as an option for emergency noninvasive ventilation.

notch)

TABLE 10-1

Components of the Preoperative Airway Physical Examination

Airway Examination Component	Nonreassuring Findings
Length of upper incisors	Relatively long
Relation of maxillary and mandibular incisors during normal jaw closure	Prominent "overbite" (maxillary incisors anterior to mandibular incisors)
Relation of maxillary and mandibular incisors during voluntary protrusion of the jaw	Patient's mandibular incisors anterior to (in front of) mandibular incisors
Interincisor distance	<3 cm
Visibility of uvula	Not visible when tongue is protruded with patient in sitting position (e.g., Mallampati class III or IV)
Shape of palate	Highly arched or very narrow
Compliance of mandibular space	Stiff, indurated, occupied by mass, or nonresilient
Thyromental distance	<3 ordinary finger breadths
Length of neck	Short
Thickness of neck	Thick
Range of motion of head and neck	Patient cannot touch tip of chin to chest or cannot extend neck



Tracheal intubation and alternative airway management devices used by healthcare professionals with different level of pre-existing skills: a manikin study*



B. M. Wahlen,¹ N. Roewer,² M. Lange³ and P. Kranke³

Anaesthesia, 2009, 64, pages S49–S54

	cLMA	PLMA	ILMA	CT	LT	TI
Nurses						
1st/2nd/3rd attempt	92/8/0	96/4/0	72/20/8	88/8/4	100/0/0	80/16/4
95% CI, 1st attempt	74–99	80–100	51–88	69–98	86–100	59–93
Anaesthesia nurses						
1st/2nd/3rd attempt	96/4/0	92/8/0	84/12/4	92/8/0	100/0/0	84/16/0
95% CI, 1st attempt	80–100	74–99	64–96	74–99	86–100	64–96
Paramedics						
1st/2nd/3rd attempt	96/4/0	96/4/0	84/12/4	92/4/4	100/0/0	80/20/0
95% CI, 1st attempt	80–100	80–100	64–96	74–99	86–100	59–93
Physicians						
1st/2nd/3rd attempt	96/4/0	96/4/0	88/12/0	96/4/0	100/0/0	84/16/0
95% CI, 1st attempt	80–100	80–100	69–98	80–100	86–100	64–96
Anaesthetists						
1st/2nd/3rd attempt	100/0/0	100/0/0	96/4/0	100/0/0	100/0/0	100/0/0
95% CI, 1st attempt	86–100	86–100	80–100	86–100	86–100	86–100

- [J Emerg Med.](#) 2013 Apr;44(4):750-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2012.07.080. Epub 2013 Jan 22.
- **Videolaryngoscopy with glidescope reduces cervical spine movement in patients with unsecured cervical spine.**
- [Kill C](#), [Risse J](#), [Wallot P](#), [Seidl P](#), [Steinfeldt T](#), [Wulf H](#).
- **Source**
- Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Philipps-University, Marburg, Germany.
- **Abstract**
- **BACKGROUND:**
- Unconscious patients with severe trauma often require urgent endotracheal intubation. In trauma victims with possible cervical spine injury, any movement of the head and neck should be avoided.
- **STUDY OBJECTIVES:**
- We investigated the effect of GlideScope videolaryngoscopy on cervical spine movement compared with conventional laryngoscopy in anesthetized patients with unsecured cervical spines.
- **METHODS:**
- Sixty patients scheduled for elective surgery with general anesthesia and without anticipated airway problems were enrolled in the study after ethics committee approval and written informed consent. Intubation was performed with videolaryngoscopy (GlideScope(®), Verathon Inc., Bothell, WA) or conventional laryngoscopy (MacIntosh). Using video motion analysis with a lateral view, the maximum extension angle α was measured with reference to anatomical points (baseline and line drawn from processus mastoideus to os frontale [glabella]). Values were analyzed using Mann Whitney U-tests.
- **RESULTS:**
- The deviation of α was a median 11.8° in the videolaryngoscope group and 14.3° in the conventional group ($p = 0.045$), with a maximum of 19.2° (videolaryngoscopy) vs. 29.3° (conventional). Intubation by physicians with some experience in videolaryngoscopy was associated with a reduced angle deviation ($\alpha = 10.3^\circ$) compared to inexperienced physicians (12.8°, $p = 0.019$). Intubation time was a median 24 s (min/max 12/75 s) in the MacIntosh group and 53 s (min/max 28/210 s) in the GlideScope group. In 3 patients randomized to the conventional group in whom conventional intubation failed, intubation could be successfully performed using videolaryngoscopy.
- **CONCLUSION:**
- GlideScope videolaryngoscopy reduces movements of the cervical spine in patients with unsecured cervical spines and therefore might reduce the risk of secondary damage during emergency intubation of patients with cervical spine trauma



REPORTS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS

Glidescope[®] video-laryngoscopy versus direct laryngoscopy for endotracheal intubation: a systematic review and meta-analysis

**Donald E. G. Griesdale, MD • David Liu, MD •
James McKinney, MD • Peter T. Choi, MD**

Received: 31 August 2011 / Accepted: 19 October 2011 / Published online: 1 November 2011
© The Author(s) 2011. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Table 1 Characteristics of randomized and quasi-randomized trials comparing Glidescope® video-laryngoscopy to direct laryngoscopy

First author, year	Country of origin	No. of patients	Total no. patients randomized	Operators	Patients	Mallampati I/II/III/IV (%)	Setting
Bilehjani 2009 ³²	Iran	80	DL 40 GS 40	Anesthesiologists	Excluded MP III-IV or history of DI	59/36/5/0	ASA I-III Elective CABG surgery
Jones 2008 ¹⁶	Canada	70	DL 35 GS 34	Anesthesiologist consultants 39% House staff 61% Experienced (≥ 10 GS intubations) 39%	Excluded if history of DI	63/30/8/0	Elective dental or maxillofacial surgery
Lim 2005 ²³	Singapore	60	DL 30 GS 30	Anesthesiologists with varying experience with the GS	ASA I + II patients Excluded patients with a potentially difficult airway or MP III/IV Patients maintained in manual in-line stabilization	85/15/0/0	ASA I and II patients admitted for elective gynecological procedures
Malik 2008 ²⁸	Ireland	60	DL 30 Truview EVO2 30 GS 30 AWS 30	Anesthesiologists experienced with each device (≥ 20 clinical intubations)	Excluded if (1) history of DI or (2) features suggestive of DI (MP III/IV, TMD < 6.0 cm, IID < 3.5 cm) Manual in-line stabilization applied	38/62/0/0	ASA I-III. Any surgical procedure requiring intubation
Malik 2009 ²⁶	Ireland	50	DL 25 GS 25 AWS 25	Anesthesiologists experienced with each device (≥ 50 clinical intubations)	At least two features of DI (TMD < 6 cm, MP III/IV, IID < 4 cm)	0/0/80/20	ASA I-III. Any surgical procedure requiring intubation
Morello ^a 2009 ³³	Italy	300	DL 150 GS 150 All patients had examinations with both	Skilled anesthesiologist	No signs of predicted DI		ASA I-III patients
Nouruzi-Sedeh 2009 ¹⁴	Germany	200	DL 100 GS 100 Each operator performed 5 intubations with each technique	Inexperienced trainees: 8 paramedics, 4 first-year house staff, 4 nurses, 4 medical students	No history of signs of DI MP I or II, mouth opening > 4 cm	120/80/0/0	ASA I or II undergoing elective surgery requiring ETI
Robitaille 2008 ²⁹	Canada	20	All 20 patients had laryngoscopy with DL and GS (in randomized order)	Two senior anesthesiology house staff (≥ 30 GS intubations)	Patients maintained in manual in-line stabilization	7/12/1/0	Elective neuroradiological procedure
Serocki 2010 ²⁴	Germany	120	All 120 patients had laryngoscopy with each device (in randomized order)	Two anesthesiology consultants with ≥ 50 intubations with each device	At least one predictor of difficult airway (MP $\geq$ II, decreased atlantooccipital joint movement $\leq 15^\circ$, mouth opening ≤ 38 mm, TMD ≤ 65 mm)	0/68/49/3	ASA I-III elective patients

Table 1 continued

First author, year	Country of origin	No. of patients	Total no. patients randomized	Operators	Patients	Mallampati I/II/III/IV (%)	Setting
Shimada 2010 ³⁶	Japan	40	GS 20 DL 20	Nonanesthesia house staff	Nasotracheal intubation	NR	Elective dental surgery
Siddiqui 2009 ²⁵	Canada	40	DL 20 GS 20 TL 20	Single anesthesiologist with ≥ 50 intubations with each device	Excluded patients with a history of anticipated/difficult airway, or MP III/IV	NR	ASA I and II patients scheduled for elective surgery
Sun 2005 ¹⁵	Canada	200	GS 100 DL 100	5 Experienced anesthesiologists (> 10 years practice) and > 20 GS intubations)	No exclusions based on known or anticipated difficulty	51/39/10/1	ASA I-IV. Elective operating room patients
Teoh 2009 ²⁷	Singapore	200	Pentax AWS 100 C-MAC 100 GS 100 DL 100	Experienced anesthesiologists with > 30 intubations with each device	Excluded patients with BMI > 40 and those with limited mouth opening	37/39/23/3	ASA I-III. Elective gynecological, orthopedic, breast, or esthetic surgery
Turkstra 2005 ³¹	Canada	18	All 18 patients had both GS and DL (in random order)	One anesthesiologist who performed > 50 intubations with each device	Excluded: BMI > 35, prior neck surgery, or difficult airway In-line stabilization maintained	44/44/6/6	ASA I-III elective noncardiac surgery
Vernick ^a 2006 ³⁴	USA	78	GS 39 DL 39	Not reported	Excluded: BMI > 35, prior difficult intubation In-line stabilization maintained	Not reported	
Xue 2007 ³⁰	China	57	GS 30 DL 27	One anesthesiologist experienced in GS and DL	Excluded patients with predicted difficult airways		ASA I patients for elective plastic surgery
Yeatts ^a 2010 ³⁵	USA	405	GS 200 DL 205	Anesthesiology and emergency medicine house staff			Patients requiring emergent airway management at a level 1 trauma center

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI = body mass index; CABG = coronary artery bypass graft; DI = difficult intubation; DL = direct laryngoscopy; ETI = endotracheal intubation; GS = Glidescope®; IID = interincisor distance; MP = Mallampati; TMD = thyromental distance

^a Published as an abstract

Table 2 Outcomes of randomized and quasi-randomized trials comparing Glidescope® video-laryngoscopy to direct laryngoscopy

First author, year	Cormack-Lehane I/II/III/IV (no.)		Successful 1st intubation attempt (event/total patients)		Time to intubation (sec) (SD or IQR)	
	Glidescope®	Direct laryngoscope	Glidescope®	Direct laryngoscope	Glidescope®	Direct laryngoscope
Bilehjani 2009 ³²	36/4/0/0	30/7/1/0	29/40 (73%)	35/38 (92%)	48.8 (47.8)	14.5 (8.3)
Jones 2008 ¹⁶	32/2/0/0	23/11/1/0	33/34 (97%)	32/35 (91%)	43.5 (39.8-67.3)	66.7 (53.8-89.9)
Lim 2005 ²³	20/10/0/0	4/18/8/0	28/30 (93%)	26/30 (87%)	41.8 (20.2)	56.2 (26.6)
Malik 2008 ²⁸	21/9/0/0	6/19/5/0	28/30 (93.3%)	26/30 (87.6%)	18.9 (6.0)	11.6 (6.0)
Malik 2009 ²⁶	22/3/0/0	2/15/6/2	22/25 (88%)	17/25 (68%)	17 (12-31)	13 (8-23)
Morello ^a 2009 ³³	239/61/0/0	128/152/20/0	134/150 (89%)	95/150 (63%)	NR	NR
Nouruzi-Sedeh 2009 ¹⁴	66/26/5/3	32/18/37/13	93/100 (93%)	51/100 (51%)	63 (30)	89 (35)
Robitaille 2008 ²⁹	10/10/0/0 ^c	0/19/1/0 ^c	NR	NR	NR	NR
Serocki 2010 ²⁴	43/75/2/0	10/74/35/1	38/40 (95%)	35/40 (88%)	13 (11-15)	13 (11-16)
Shimada 2010 ³⁶	NR	NR	20/20 (100%)	11/20 (55%)	57 (22)	141 (79)
Siddiqui 2009 ²⁵	NR	NR	16/20 (80%)	18/20 (90%)	30.9 (9)	13.9 (7.8)
Sun 2005 ¹⁵	75/24/1/0 ^b	59/26/15/0 ^b	94/100 (94%)	97/100 (97%)	46 (43-49)	30 (28-33)
Teoh 2009 ²⁷	78/21/1/0	58/37/5/0	91/100 (91%)	98/100 (98%)	31.2 (15)	22.4 (13.6)
Turkstra 2005 ³¹	NR	NR	NR	NR	27 (12)	17 (8)
Vernick ^a 2006 ³⁴	Gr 1 or 2: 37/39	Gr 1 or 2: 17/39	NR ^d	NR ^d	56.9 (25.8)	39.1 (10.5)
Xue 2007 ³⁰	NR	NR	28/30	27/27	37.4 (9.9)	28.4 (11.7)
Yeatts ^a 2010 ³⁵	NR	NR	150/200	154/205	69 (61.6-76.4)	57 (50.3-63.7)

DL = direct laryngoscopy; GS = Glidescope®; IQR = interquartile range; NR = not reported; SD = standard deviation

^a Published as an abstract

^b These were all patients ($n = 100$) randomized to the GS group who underwent both GS and DL. The assessors for DL and GS were not involved in the patients' care and were not present during each other's assessment

^c Each patient served as their own controls, randomized to first look with either GS or DL

^d Although they reported "success," this was based entirely on view rather than actual success. If they did not have an adequate view, they did not attempt laryngoscopy, and it was recorded as a failed procedure

CL Grade 1 (event / total patients)




Fig. 2 Risk ratios (RR) of Cormack-Lehane (CL) grade 1 (vs $\geq$ grade 2) in clinical trials comparing Glidescope® video-laryngoscopy to direct laryngoscopy stratified by the difficulty of the intubation. Subjects were considered to have difficult intubations in studies that included patients with known prior difficult intubation, physical examination features suggesting difficult intubation, or in which difficult intubation was simulated by providing manual-in-line stabilization. The pooled estimate was derived using the DerSimonian and Laird random effects method with grey squares depicting

individual study point estimates of the RR. Larger squares indicate a larger weight of the study when calculating the pooled estimate. Solid horizontal lines display the 95% confidence interval (CI) of the point estimate. Dashed vertical line represents an RR of 1.00, indicating no difference between Glidescope® video-laryngoscopy and direct laryngoscopy. Solid vertical lines represent the pooled estimates. Test for heterogeneity was significant using meta-regression analysis ($P = 0.003$). DL = direct laryngoscopy; GS = Glidescope®.




Fig. 3 Risk ratios (RR) of successful first-attempt intubation in clinical trials comparing Glidescope® video-laryngoscopy to direct laryngoscopy stratified by operator expertise (anesthesia or casualty consultants or house staff vs “other”). The pooled estimate was derived using the DerSimonian and Laird random effects method with grey squares depicting individual study point estimates of the RR. Larger squares indicate a larger weight of the study when calculating

the pooled estimate. Solid horizontal lines display the 95% CI of the point estimate. Dashed vertical line represents an RR of 1.00, indicating no difference between Glidescope® video-laryngoscopy and direct laryngoscopy. Solid vertical lines represent the pooled estimates. Test for heterogeneity by operator expertise was significant using meta-regression analysis ($P = 0.001$). DL = direct laryngoscopy; GS = Glidescope®




Fig. 4 Weighted mean difference (WMD), in seconds, in clinical trials comparing Glidescope® video-laryngoscopy to direct laryngoscopy stratified by operator expertise (anesthesia or casualty consultants or housestaff vs “other”). The pooled estimate was derived using the DerSimonian and Laird random effects method with grey squares depicting an individual study point estimate of the mean difference. Larger squares indicate a larger weight of the study when

calculating the pooled estimate. Solid horizontal lines display the 95% CI of the point estimate. Dashed vertical line represents a WMD of 0, indicating no difference between Glidescope® video-laryngoscopy and direct laryngoscopy. Solid vertical lines represent the pooled estimate. Test for heterogeneity by operator expertise was significant using meta-regression analysis ($P = 0.004$)



Prehospital advanced airway management by ambulance technicians and paramedics: is clinical practice sufficient to maintain skills?

C D Deakin,¹ P King,² F Thompson³

Emerg Med J 2009 26: 888-891

15 ambulans ekibi ile yapılan gözlemsel çalışma (269 paramedik)

- Paramedik eğitimi standart değil
- Öğrendiklerini uygulama şansı düşük
- Eğitim tekrarı düzenli değil
- 12 ayda 0-11 ETE/paramedik
- 12 ayda 0-2 LM yerleştirmesi/paramedik
- ETE uygulanamayan olgularda paramediklerin %57,7'si BVMV'a geçiyor, LM'ye geçen %42,3
- İlk tercih olarak BVM yerine LM kullananlar %9,5

ÖZET

- Sürekli bir öncekine “üstün” araç-gereç geliştirilmektedir.
- Önemli olan, bu yeni araç gereçlere sahip olmak değil– onları kullanabilmektir.
- Tümüne sahip olmak ve kullanmak şart değildir.
- ETE altın standarttır – bunu unutmamak gerekir.
- En az bir supraglottik hava yolu aracını iyi kullanabiliyor olmak önemlidir.
- Okumak, izlemek önemli olsa da uygulamak ve tekrar tekrar uygulamak en önemli öğrenme şeklidir.

GÖRÜŞMEK ÜZERE SAĞLIKLI GÜNLER

